## Foster, Nichole

From: Welsh, Michele < Michele. Welsh@deq.ok.gov>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 8:49 AM **To:** Foster, Nichole; Henson, Jamie

Cc: Waite, Andrew; McCasland, Mark; Ngo, Kim; Thompson, Patty

**Subject:** RE: SDWIS\Fed Clean Up Approach

Nichole, Yes absolutely you can send this list to HQ.

## Thanks, Michele

**From:** Foster, Nichole [mailto:Foster.Nichole@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2017 7:50 AM

To: Welsh, Michele; Henson, Jamie

**Cc:** Waite, Andrew; McCasland, Mark; Ngo, Kim **Subject:** SDWIS\Fed Clean Up Approach

## Hi Michele,

Based on our EOY discussion on SDWIS Reporting and the current amount of error seen on Fed Reports, our data team met yesterday to brainstorm and find an option that would involve the less amount of work or burden on the state. We would like to take the follow approach to help reduce the amount of data discrepancies.

Attached is a list of over 10,000 violations where the State Violation ID doesn't match the Fed Violation ID. Andy, Mark, and myself have looked over the list and would like to send the list to HQ/SAIC to start the process of replacing the Fed ID so that they match what is currently seen in SDWIS\State Oklahoma. Our hope is that SAIC can make the changes in time for next quarters' upload. We can then evaluate the next round of Fed Reports and likely see a reduction in the 16,000 errors we're currently seeing.

What do you think? Can we move forward with sending the list to HQ/SAIC?

\*Nichole